

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45448 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-63 Year-2026 Thana- ARIYARI District- Sheikhpura

1. Arun Yadav S/o Chandar yadav R/o Village - Teldeeh, Post Office - Sanaiya, P.S. - Ariyari, District - Sheikhpura.
2. Prem Kumar @ Karan Kumar @ Prem Raj S/o Arun Yadav R/o Village - Teldeeh, Post Office - Sanaiya, P.S. - Ariyari, District - Sheikhpura.
3. Mahendra Yadav S/o Chandar Yadav R/o Village - Teldeeh, Post Office - Sanaiya, P.S. - Ariyari, District - Sheikhpura.
4. Balkishore Yadav S/o Chandar Yadav R/o Village - Teldeeh, Post Office - Sanaiya, P.S. - Ariyari, District - Sheikhpura.
5. Arjun Kumar S/o Arun Yadav R/o Village - Teldeeh, Post Office - Sanaiya, P.S. - Ariyari, District - Sheikhpura.
6. Sintu Kumar S/o Surendra Yadav R/o Village - Teldeeh, Post Office - Sanaiya, P.S. - Ariyari, District - Sheikhpura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nawal Kishore Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 15-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners, learned A.P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 352 and 351(2) of the BNS, 2023.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioners, after some



arguments, seeks permission to withdraw the anticipatory bail application with respect to petitioner no. 1 (Arun Yadav) and petitioner no. 3 (Mahendra Yadav).

4. Permission is accorded.

5. It is next submitted that petitioner no. 6 has antecedent of one case and rest of the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 08.05.2026 at 07:00 PM, *Ramdhuni* procession was underway when accused persons came and started abusing, on objection by Kedar, accused Arun assaulted on head causing injury while Mahendra assaulted him by axe on account of which two teeth were broke and Prem assaulted her son, Bipin by *Khanti* causing injury on nose and Arjun dragged him, further Surendra assaulted her by lathi causing injury on head while Balkishan and Sintu dashed Rajendra, a differently abled person on ground, causing injury on face, when villagers came, accused fled threatening.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of an altercation which took place at the time when *Ramdhani* procession was underway. It is next submitted that no doubt Kedar suffered three injuries and



opinion with regard to the injury is reserved as recorded in the order impugned, but then allegation of assaulting Kedar is against Arun and Mahendra. It is further submitted that as far as other petitioners are concerned, though they are alleged to have assaulted the informant and others as detailed in the FIR, but then their injury has been opined to be simple in nature. It is next submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove their innocence.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State as well as the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners.

8. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Ariyari P.S. Case No. 63 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

9. However, it is made clear that in the event if the



Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned Trial Court bringing to its notice that petitioners despite giving assurance to this Court are not co-operating in the investigation, in that event the learned Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

10. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

