

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45460 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-199 Year-2024 Thana- GOVINDGANJ District- East
Champaran

=====

Mukesh paswan Son of Bipin Bihari Paswan Resident of Village- Malahi Toli,
Ward No. -4, P.S.- Malahi, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mritunjay Kumar Nirala

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 15-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Govindganj (Malahi) P.S. Case No.199 of 2024 registered
for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 325, 307
and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that accused persons came to his house on 07.05.2024
and petitioner assaulted him by knife causing injury on head and
repeated the blow causing injury on his left hand and also
assaulted his daughter Guriya with knife causing injury on palm
and thereafter petitioner brought his motorcycle and ran over his
leg, causing fracture.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that on account of dispute relating to land, a false case has been instituted with an allegation that petitioner assaulted the informant and his daughter. It is also submitted that it appears that the occurrence took place in some other manner and taking opportunity of the same, the informant implicated the petitioner in the instant case.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that from tenor of the allegation, it manifests that petitioner brutally assaulted the informant and even did not spare his daughter. It is next submitted that from perusal of the order impugned, it would manifest that the injury suffered by the injured has been opined to be grievous in nature, as such the petitioner does not deserve anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

