

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45199 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-30 Year-2026 Thana- KUNDWACHAINPUR District- East
Champaran

=====

Harendra Sah Son of Gauri Sah Resident of village - Chainpur Parsa, P.S.-
Kundwa Chainpur, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Mritunjay Kumar Nirala, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 28-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2),
126(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352, 303(2), 117(2), 3(5) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges
that on account of dispute relating to passage, accused persons
came and Harendra (petitioner) caught her husband (Rambabu)
and Suraj along with Bhagirath assaulted by knife causing
injury on head, chest and back while Kiran assaulted her
daughter Riya by knife causing injury on head, thereafter



unknown accused persons assaulted informant and her son while Kiran and Anjali snatched her chain and tops.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that specific allegation of assaulting the husband of the informant by knife is against Suraj and Bhagirath.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that though petitioner is not alleged to have assaulted the husband of the informant by knife, but then he is alleged to have caught her husband and thereafter, Suraj and Bhagirath assaulted by knife causing injury on head, chest and back, it is also submitted that presence of the petitioner at the place of occurrence emboldened the accused persons to commit the occurrence, it is also submitted that husband of the informant suffered four injuries out of which two injuries are grievous in nature.

6. It is also submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner may try to tamper with evidence or may abscond.

7. Considering the submissions made by the learned



A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the
privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. This application stands rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

