

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45315 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1 Year-2026 Thana- GHANSHYAMPUR District- Darbhanga

Randhir Jha @ Randhir Kumar Jha S/O Manikant Jha @ Manna Jha R/O
Village- Ghanshyampur, P.S- Ghanshyampur, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Jha
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ramesh Chandra

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Ghanshyampur P.S. Case No.01 of 2026 dated 01.01.2026,
registered for the offences punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act and Section 25(1-b)a, 35 of
the Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of one country made pistol along with 1096.38 litres of
liquor from house of Ajit Kumar.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was
recovered from his conscious possession and even alleged



recovery is from house of Ajit, with whom petitioner has no concern or relation and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of Santosh in police custody which does not have any evidentiary value.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that allegation is of recovery of country made pistol also from the house of Ajit Kumar and the name of the petitioner transpired in the confessional statement of Santosh and in the anticipatory bail application, there is no pleading that as to what petitioner does for earning his livelihood. It is further submitted that investigation of the case is continuing.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the benefit of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. The anticipatory bail application of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

