IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.52455 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010634812026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-163 Year-2024 Thana- SURYAPURA District- Rohtas

==========

Ravi Shankar Ram @ Ravi Shankar @ Munna Kumar Son of Hridyanand Ram Resident of Village- Kawae, P.S.- Suryapura, District- Rohtas (Sasaram)

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Raj Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bharat Bhushan, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Purushottam Narayan Mishra, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

3 06-11-2026 Heard Mr. Raj Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner, the State as also Mr. Purushottam Narayan Mishra for the informant.

2. The petitioner is in custody in connection with Suryapura P.S. Case No. 163 of 2024 for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 325, 307, 504, 506, 302/34 of the I.P.C. lodged on 10.06.2024 by the informant, Rohit Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, firstly the petitioner assaulted the informant on the head by an iron rod and then the allegation is that when the uncle, Chunmun Ram and his father came to rescue, Hridyanand Ram assaulted his father causing fracture in his legs while this petitioner along with Yogendra

WEB COPY

Ram and Hridyanand Ram beside Satyendra Ram assaulted on the head and body causing injury. The uncle became unconscious and as the story unfolds, subsequently, succumbed to the injuries.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that allegation against the petitioner is of assaulting the informant on the head which has been found to be simple in nature. Further, Satyendra Ram and Hridyanand Ram who also have omnibus allegation along with the petitioner of assaulting the uncle have since been granted relief by the Coordinate Bench in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 75914 of 2024 and Criminal Miscellaneous No. 80976 of 2024. He has remained in custody since 11.06.2024.

5. Learned APP Mr. Bharat Bhushan as also learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer for bail submitting that he has additional role of assaulting the informant.

6. Having gone through the facts of the case and the allegation that has come against the petitioner, it is omnibus in nature along with Satyendra Ram and Yogendra Ram as recorded above so far as the assault on the deceased uncle is concerned, as assaulted on the head of the informant which according to the learned counsel for the petitioner has been

WEB COPY

found to be simple in nature. Further, the Trail Court report dated 05.09.2026 shows that no witness has been examined due to failure of the prosecution.

7. Taking into account the submission of the parties as also that the petitioner has remained in custody since 11.06.2024, having no criminal antecedent, an undertaking has been given that he shall be diligently appearing in trial, the same has been recorded with the rider that if he fails to abide by the undertaking, (the trial Court shall see to it that his bail bond is immediately canceled), in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of bail with conditions.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Ld. District and Sessions Judge-II, Bikramganj, Rohtas in connection with Suryapura P.S. Case No. 163 of 2024 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive

WEB COPY

dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every month till the conclusion of the trial to mark his attendance and after the end of the period, the certificate shall be submitted before the Trial Court failing which steps shall be taken for the cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

sanny/-

U		T	

WEB COPY