

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45765 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-395 Year-2025 Thana- BARAULI District- Gopalganj

Suraj Kumar Sah @ Suraj Kumar S/O Kapil Sah Resident of Village-
Pratappur, P.S. - Manjhagarh, District- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Shubhangi Pandey

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shailendra Kumar

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER**

- 2 10-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offence punishable under Section 30(a) of
Bihar Prohibition and Excise (Amendment) Act, 2022.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and allegation is of
recovery of 23.400 litres of liquor from a motorcycle.
4. Learned counsel submits that petitioner was not
arrested from the spot as such nothing was recovered from his
conscious possession and is not the owner of the seized vehicle
and he came to be implicated based on confessional statement of
Sachin in police custody which does not have any evidentiary
value.
5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for
anticipatory bail of the petitioner.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on provisional anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.500/- (Rupees five hundred) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Barauli P.S. Case No.395 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

7. It is made clear that the learned trial court thereafter shall verify the criminal antecedents of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of even one case, in that event, it would be presumed that petitioner had concealed his antecedent before this court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed but if on verification it is found that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent, in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

