

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43899 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-67 Year-2025 Thana- SIMRI District- Darbhanga

=====

Alok Kumar Son of Navin Kumar Tiwari @ Navin Kumar Resident of  
Village- Farpur, P.S.- Simri, District- Darbhanga.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Aryan Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Singh

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      13-07-2026                      Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and  
  
learned APP for the State. A supplementary affidavit has been  
  
filed on behalf of the petitioner and the same is taken on record.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with  
  
Simri Bazar P.S. Case No. 67 of 2025 registered for the offence  
  
under Sections 316(2), 318(4) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya  
  
Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is alleged  
  
to have embezzled Rs. 26,74,547/- from the firm of the  
  
informant and the informant's sister-in-law and is said to have  
  
deposited the said amount in cash into his own bank account as  
  
well as his wife's bank account.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has not committed any offence. Petitioner is in custody since 18.04.2026 and has clean antecedent. It is also submitted that the petitioner has not cheated the informant though he is alleged to have cheated Rs. 26,74,547/-.

5. Per contra, learned counsel for the State vehemently opposes the bail application and submits that the allegations against the petitioner are serious and grave in nature. It is contended that there exists *prima facie* material against the petitioner indicating his involvement in the commission of the offence.

6. Having considered the submissions of the parties, the material available on record, the nature and gravity of the offence, and the fact that such a huge amount was allegedly cheated by an employee of the informant, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application for regular bail stands dismissed.

8. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case



and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

**(Sandeep Kumar, J)**

Shishir/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

