

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45007 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-239 Year-2026 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Akhilesh Singh S/O Lalan Singh R/O Village- Chor (Badi), P.S.- Sasaram
Town, Dist.- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ashish, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate
		Mr. Kuber Pathak
		Mr. Devendra Kumar
		Parmanand Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Sasaram Town P.S. Case No. 239 of 2026, instituted for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(2), 109(1), 303(2), 352, 351(2), and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on 30.03.2026, while the informant was returning home from duty, he was intercepted by the accused persons. It is alleged that Satyendra Singh, armed with a rod and a knife, attempted a deadly assault, while Akhilesh Singh fired from katta at the informant, which misfired. Meanwhile, co-accused Shankar



Singh assaulted the informant, forcefully snatched Rs. 23,000/- cash and a gold chain worth Rs. 80,000/- from his possession, and the accused persons threatened him to withdraw earlier cases or face dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the informant is the father-in-law of the petitioner's brother. It is further submitted that even if the F.I.R. is taken in its entirety, the only specific allegation against the petitioner is that he opened fire, which resulted in a misfire, except for this, there is nothing material against him in the F.I.R.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Parmanand Kumar, vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State, Mr. Parmanand Kumar, assisted by the learned counsel for the informant draws the attention of this Court toward two criminal antecedents of the petitioner. To this, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that out of the two cases, one was lodged by the son of the informant and another by the daughter of the informant, which demonstrates a history of targeted litigation.

7. Having heard the rival submissions of the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the relationship between the parties and the



longstanding dispute which is evident from the criminal antecedents referred to above which were notably lodged by other family members of the informant and have not been controverted by the learned counsel for the informant this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

8. Accordingly, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned C.J.M, Sasaram, Rohtas, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)

rashmi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

