

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45081 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-271 Year-2025 Thana- SAUR BAZAR District- Saharsa

Ravindra Kumar @ Ravindra, Son of Late Govind Ray, Resident of 110A,
Hira Nand Shah Ki Gali, P.O.- Jhauganj, P.S.- Chowk Patna City, District -
Patna, Bihar, Pin - 800008.

... .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ajit Kumar, Advocate Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, Advocate Mr. Pratyaksha, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Khurshid Anwar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. The accused/petitioner is named in the FIR and

apprehending his arrest in connection with Saur Bazar P.S.

Case No.271 of 2025 registered under Sections 30(a) and

41 of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2022.

3. As per FIR, 2000 bottles, each of 100 ml. of

wiscof cough syrup was recovered during police checking

from a Scorpio vehicle bearing Registration No. BR11PA

6227, where two persons namely, Adarsh Kumar and Lalit

Kumar were arrested.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing for



the petitioner that the apprehended co-accused persons disclosed the name of this petitioner and also supplied his mobile no. It is submitted that mere on the basis of suspicion arising out of confessional statement and supply of mobile no., the petitioner was named with present recovery of cough syrup. It is submitted that wiscof cough syrup is a medicine, which was manufactured by leading pharmaceutical company under government approvals, where the composition of cough syrup was duly approved. In this connection, it is pointed out that police after investigation did not submitted chargesheet for the offences under NDPS Act against apprehended co-accused persons and moreover FIR was also not registered under NDPS Act. It is submitted that this is the case maximum of violation of Rule pertaining to Drugs and Cosmetics Act. It is submitted that admittedly no recovery was made from conscious possession of this petitioner, who is a man of clean antecedent and moreover the seizure list was not supported by independent witnesses rather by police personnel.

5. Learned APP opposed the prayer of anticipatory



bail to the petitioner.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submissions and by taking of note of fact as *prima facie* the implication of this petitioner appears on the basis of suspicion arising out of confessional statement of apprehended co-accused persons, accordingly, the petitioner, above-named, who is a man of clean antecedent, in the event of his arrest or surrender in the court below within a period of four weeks from today, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Judge (Excise)-II, Saharsa in connection with Saur Bazar P.S. Case No.271 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the CrPC/under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

