

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.48891 of 2026

In
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.37759 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-306 Year-2024 Thana- LAURIA District- West Champaran

1. Chunni Dhangar @ Chunnu Dhangar S/O Suresh Dhangar Resident Of Villalge- Parasa Dhangar Toli, P.S- Lauriya, Distt.- West Champaran.
2. Ram Singh Dhangar @ Rambabu Dhangar @ Rambabu Dhangar S/O Bindeshwari Dhangar Resident Of Villalge- Parasa Dhangar Toli, P.S- Lauriya, Distt.- West Champaran.
3. Dinesh Dhangar @ Dinesh Mahto S/O Kailash Mahto Resident Of Villalge- Parasa Dhangar Toli, P.S- Lauriya, Distt.- West Champaran.
4. Basant Dhangar @ Basant Mahto S/O jalim Dhangar Resident Of Villalge- Parasa Dhangar Toli, P.S- Lauriya, Distt.- West Champaran.
5. Naga Dhangar @ Basant Dhangar S/O Basant Dhangar Resident Of Villalge- Parasa Dhangar Toli, P.S- Lauriya, Distt.- West Champaran.
6. Karan Dhangar @ Karan Kumar S/O Prem Dhangar Resident Of Villalge- Parasa Dhangar Toli, P.S- Lauriya, Distt.- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, APP
For the Informant	:	Ms. Kumari Akansha Rani, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 31-07-2026 Learned Advocate for the respective parties are present.

2. The application is filed for modification of the order dated 07.11.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 37759 of 2025, whereby this Court has been pleased to extend privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner numbers 1, 2, 4, and 6. The prayer for bail of the petitioner numbers 3 and 5 were stood



rejected by the aforesaid order.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioners, at the outset, submits that during the pendency of the modification application, now petitioner number 2 has also been taken into custody, and as such, the modification application is only confined to petitioner numbers 1, 4, and 6. He further submits that while extending the privilege of anticipatory bail, this Court had put a condition that one of the bailors shall be own/close family members of the petitioner. But none of the family members are ready to become bailors. Therefore, the petitioner numbers 1, 4, and 6 are facing difficulty in furnishing their bail bond.

4. Having considered the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the respective parties and taking note of the difficulties being faced by petitioner numbers 1, 4, and 6, this Court modified the order dated 07.11.2025 to the extent whereby, the condition has been imposed that one of the bailors shall be own/close family members of the petitioners. The petitioners are ready to furnish bail bond along with any *bona fide* bailors.

5. The order stands modified accordingly.

6. Further four weeks' time is extended to the



petitioner numbers 1, 4, and 6 to surrender and furnish their bail
bond.

(Harish Kumar, J)

shivank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

