

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44294 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-11 Year-2026 Thana- MADHEPUR District- Madhubani

1. Lalan Thakur Son of Late Domi Thakur Resident of Village- Matras PS -Madhepur Distt -Madhubani
2. Sumanjee Thakur Son of Late Domi Thakur Resident of Village- Matras PS -Madhepur Distt -Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhav Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Pranav Kumar, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      21-07-2026                      1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 76, 305, 351(2), 352 and 3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 11.01.2026 at 08:00 AM, four named accused persons including the petitioners came and started assaulting her sons namely Santosh and Ashok along with her daughter-in-law, further Ramanji tried to rape her daughter-in-law and thus



dashed her on the ground and unveiled her, thereafter, Suman and Lalan assaulted her sons by axe causing injury on head and also assaulted by lathi and fist causing breakage of rib, it is next alleged that her sons do business of tent hence accused were demanding extortion and on refusal, the occurrence was committed and Suman snatched chain of her son.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant, it is next submitted that though it is alleged that Suman and Lalan assaulted her sons by axe causing injury on head, but then the blow is not alleged to have been repeated.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioners and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioners of assaulting the sons of the informant by axe causing injury on head and the final injury report is awaited, as stands recorded in the order impugned, which amply demonstrates that the injury was not simple. It is also submitted that process under Section 82 Cr.P.C has been issued against the petitioners.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned APP for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the



privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

**7. Accordingly, the instant anticipatory bail application stands rejected.**

**(Satyavrat Verma, J)**

Rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

