

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15128 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-470 Year-2024 Thana- KADAMKUAN District- Patna

Kamran Ashraf S/O Nehal Ashraf R/O- Nagris Apartment raja Bazar P.S.-
Hawai Adda, Dist.- Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 52135 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-892 Year-2024 Thana- KADAMKUAN District- Patna

Kamran Ashraf S/o Nehal Ashraf R/o Nargis Apartment Raja Bazar, P.S.-
Hawai Adda, District- Patna Bihar- 800014

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 15128 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Shakir Ahmad

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 52135 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Nazia Shabah

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Madhura Nand Jha

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAWNEET KUMAR
PANDEY**

ORAL ORDER

14 06-01-2026 Both the cases have been lodged for the same

occurrence against the same person by two different persons and

that is why, both the cases are being heard together and disposed

of by this common order.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner as well

as the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

3. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in connection



with Kadamkuan P.S. Case No. 470 of 2024 as well as in Kadamkuan P.S. Case No. 892 of 2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 409, 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

4. The prosecution case in both the cases is almost similar. In both cases, the informants allege that the petitioner having taken in confidence to them, committed forgery of huge amount by alluring of huge profit on the amount.

5. *Mr. Ansul*, the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has remained in custody for more than a year. It has further been submitted that though the petitioner had assured before the Court that he would make the payment but it is not possible for him to do so since, he has been suffering from severe financial crunch.

6. On the other hand, the learned counsel for the informant has opposed the prayer for bail and submitted that the petitioner being an employee of a bank in collusion with his wife misappropriated huge money of the informants. He has further submitted that the charges have been framed. The trial has been started and one witness has already been examined.

7. Considering the above-mentioned facts and circumstances, the learned trial court is directed to make every



endeavour to conclude the trial within a period of six months. If the trial is not concluded within the stipulated period, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

8. With these observations, the criminal miscellaneous petition is disposed of.

(Nawneet Kumar Pandey, J)

Nirmal/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

