

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45130 of 2025**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-228 Year-2019 Thana- PANCHRUKHI District- Siwan

=====

Arun Kumar @ Arun Kumar Singh (Male), aged about- 32 years, S/o  
Virendra Singh@Virendra Prasad Singh, Resident of Village- Salemgarh  
Bazar, P.S.- Tareya Sujan, Distt- Kushinagar, Uttar Pradesh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Adesh Raj, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Arun Kumar, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

6      21-01-2026                      Heard Mr. Adesh Raj, learned counsel appearing on  
  
behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Arun Kumar, learned APP for  
  
the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection  
with Pachrukhi (Sarai) P.S. Case No. 228 of 2019, registered for  
the offence punishable under Section 395 of the Indian Penal  
Code.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, some  
unknown miscreants six in numbers, had allegedly, looted Rs.  
289000, a laptop and two mobiles from the C.S.P. shop of the  
informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and have falsely



been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Recovery of stolen articles has been made from one co-accused Manish Kumar Patel, on whose confessional statement in police custody, the name of the petitioner has transpired, which has no evidentiary value in the eye of law. On these grounds, petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Considering the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, the fact that petitioner is not named in the FIR. Name of the petitioner has surfaced on the basis of confessional statement made by co-accused Manish Kumar Patel, from whose possession recovery of stolen articles has been made. I am of the opinion that petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his/her arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District Court where the case is pending, in connection with Pachrukhi (Sarai) P.S. Case



No. 228 of 2019, subject to the condition as laid down under  
Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify  
the criminal antecedent of the petitioner as stated in  
paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is  
pending against the petitioner as what has been stated in  
paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Niraj/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

