

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44459 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-124 Year-2026 Thana- KOTWA District- East Champaran

Vinda Ram S/O Late Mahavir Ram Resident of village - Sobaiya, P.S-
Kotwa, Dist-East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kumar Ranjit Ranjan

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence punishable under Sections 103, 238 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and is a senior citizen aged about 73 years and the informant alleges that her daughter was married to Raj Kumar in the year 2005, out of the wedlock, a son and a daughter were born, next alleges that a land dispute had arisen in the family of her son-in-law, as petitioner had executed a sale deed in the name of his son Upendra, next alleges that her daughter on 14.03.2026 had raised issue of share in the land, hence all the named accused



persons including the petitioner killed her daughter and cremated the dead body without informing, on coming to know about the occurrence, the informant came at the place of occurrence, where accused ousted her from the house and threatened.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant being father-in-law of the deceased. It is next submitted that the marriage of the deceased with Raj Kumar was performed in the year 2005 and the instant FIR came to be instituted in the year 2026, as such, in these 21 years, no case ever came to be instituted either by the deceased or the informant alleging any kind of torture. It is next submitted that if a dispute with regard to land in the family of the son-in-law of the informant had arisen, that could not have been a ground for killing the deceased. It is also submitted that even husband of the deceased is an accused in the case. It is thus submitted that had the son-in-law of the informant been aggrieved by the fact that petitioner executed sale deed in favour of his brother, in that event, his son-in-law would not have participated in the occurrence. It is next submitted that the deceased died on account of eating fish and rice, on account of which, food-



poisoning occurred. It is also submitted that even informant was present in the cremation. It is also submitted that since informant participated in the cremation, as such, the instant FIR came to be instituted after a delay of four days i.e. on 18.03.2026. It is also submitted that the husband of the deceased is in custody.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the fact that petitioner is father-in-law and is a person with clean antecedent and the husband of the deceased is in custody, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Kotwa P.S. Case No.124 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

