

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.2963 of 2024**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-825 Year-2023 Thana- GAYA MUFASIL District- Gaya

Ranjeet Kumar Son Of Sanjay Yadav Resident Of Village - Nima, Post -  
Goga, Police Station - Mufassil, District - Gaya

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Niraj Kumar Son Of Gulachand Choudhary Resident Of Village - Bhore,  
Police Station - Mufassil, District - Gaya
3. Mahindra Finance Company Through Its Regional Manager Nagmatia Road,  
Ps- Civil Lines, Dist- Gaya

... .. Respondent/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                               |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------------------------------|
| For the Appellant/s  | : | Mr. Aryan Singh, Advocate                     |
| For the Respondent/s | : | Ms. Usha Kumari No. 1, Spl. Public Prosecutor |
| For the R. No. 2     | : | Mr. Vishwa Ranjan Choudhary, Advocate         |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

8      02-04-2026                      Earlier, the case was referred to mediation center *vide*  
  
order dated 07.11.2025 but as per the Mediator's Report dated  
03.12.2025, mediation between the parties has failed.

2. Heard learned counsel for the appellant, respondent  
no. 2 and the State.

3. This criminal appeal has been filed against the  
order dated 23.04.2024 passed by learned Exclusive Special  
Judge SC/ST, Gaya in ABP No. 104 of 2024 arising out of  
Mufassil P.S. Case No. 825 of 2023, registered under Sections  
406, 420, 323, 504/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3(1)  
(r)(s) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention  
of Atrocities) Act, whereby the prayer for anticipatory bail of  
appellant has been rejected.



4. As per prosecution case, complainant gave cheques of Rs. 3.5 lacs and Rs. 50,000/- and Rs. 3 lacs in cash to the appellant, in lieu of purchasing a tractor but appellant failed to deliver the same to the complainant and gave him a cheque of Rs. 4 lacs, which got dishonoured due to account closure. When complainant and his father went to the house of appellant, to demand their money back, this appellant abused them by caste name and threatened them.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant is innocent and has committed no offence. Appellant has falsely been implicated in this case with ulterior motive.

6. Learned counsel for the State and respondent no. 2 vehemently opposed the bail application. Appellant is author of cheques which got bounced.

7. Considering nature of accusation and the fact that appellant is author of the cheque which got dishonoured, I do not find any ground to interfere with the order of the learned court below and as such, the appeal, which has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail, is hereby rejected.

**(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)**

Navya/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

