

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45014 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-3 Year-2026 Thana- BAIKUNTHPUR District- Gopalganj

1. Chandrama Manjhi S/O Punyadev Manjhi Resident of Village- Bhagwanpur, P.S- Baikunthpur, Distt.- Gopalganj.
2. Urmila Devi W/O Satendra Manjhi Resident of Village- Bhagwanpur, P.S- Baikunthpur, Distt.- Gopalganj.
3. Ravi Kumar @ Ravi Kant Kumar S/O Chandrama Manjhi Resident of Village- Bhagwanpur, P.S- Baikunthpur, Distt.- Gopalganj.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sushil Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmal Kumar Sinha, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      16-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the  
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek grant of anticipatory bail in connection with Baikunthpur P.S. Case No. 03 of 2026, registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2), and 352 of the B.N.S.

3. The case of prosecution, in short is that on 31-12-2025, a dispute broke out over house construction work where the accused persons assaulted the informant's family. It is alleged that co-accused Ravi Kumar inflicted a *tangi* blow on the head of Rajkumari Devi, Satendra Manjhi assaulted with a



*danda*, Urmila Devi assaulted the informant's sister-in-law, and other co-accused persons engaged in stone-pelting and snatched a gold chain, resulting in injuries to multiple family members who were shifted to the hospital for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both parties are neighbors and the alleged occurrence arose out of a dispute regarding construction work. It is further submitted that the F.I.R. is nothing but an exaggerated version of the incident, and although there is a specific allegation of assault, the injuries have been found by the doctor to be simple in nature. It is also submitted that the petitioners bear clean antecedents and there is an unexplained delay of 5 days in lodging the F.I.R.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions of the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the delay in lodging the F.I.R., the simple nature of the injuries, and the clean antecedents of the petitioners, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, let the petitioners above-named, in the



event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned D.A.J -X, Gopalganj, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)

rashmi/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

