

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42746 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-589 Year-2022 Thana- PHULPARAS District- Madhubani

Pramod Sah @ Pramod Kumar Sah S/O Mishrilal Sah R/O Vill.- Kalapatti
Barhi, P.S.- Phulparas, Dist.- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Advocate Mr. Rohit Kant Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Abhay Kumar, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 13-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 341, 323, 324, 307, 379 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that Sanjay is alleged to have assaulted the informant by *farsa* causing injury on palm of his hand while petitioner is alleged to have assaulted by iron rod causing injury on head and when he fell, all the accused assaulted him.
4. It is next submitted that no doubt informant



suffered four injury but then the injury suffered on head has been opined to be simple in nature, it is further submitted that initially when the FIR was instituted the petitioner was given notice under section 41(A) Cr.P.C. and the petitioner co-operated with the police in the investigation and the police never felt the need of arresting the petitioner thereafter chargesheet came to be submitted but then the learned Trial Court differing with police report also took cognizance under Section 307 of the IPC hence petitioner apprehends his arrest. It is further submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the informant on his head which has been opined to be simple and the blow is not alleged to have been repeated, even police during the course of investigation did not arrest the petitioner as he Co-operated with the police in the investigation.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand)



with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Phulparas P.S. Case No. 589 of 2022, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikram/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

