

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14363 of 2014

Imran Khan son of Abdul Hameed Khan resident of Mohalla - Purani Gudri,
WArD No. 10 Bettiah, P.S. Bettiah Town, District - West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Urban Development and Housing
Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna
3. The Member, Board of Revenue, Govt. of Bihar, Patna
4. The District Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran
5. The Administrator - Cum - Manager, Bettiah Estate, West Champaran,
Bettiah
6. The Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Bettiah, West Champaran
7. Chandan Kumar son of Sri Nagendra Prasad resident of Kalibagh, Bulaki
Singh Chowk, P.S. Bettiah Town, District - West Champaran

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Vijay Kr. Singh, AC to SC-18

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 19-01-2026 Heard Mr. Subodh Kumar Jha, learned counsel for the

petitioner duly assisted by Mr. Ashok Kumar Gupta and the

State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“(i) For a direction upon the
(Respondent no. 6) Executive Officer, Nagar
Parishad Bettiah to refund the amount deposited by
the petitioner (Rs. 19,55,000/-) together with*



interest @ 18% damages and compensation in the light of advertisement dated 09.04.14 issued by Executive Officer, Bettiah Nagar Parishad whereby as provided by Nagar Parishad Bettiah land of Raj Deohari was given through bid in favour of the petitioner in open bid has been settled by Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad Bettiah and demarketed by Raj Deohari for Taxi stand.

(ii) For declaration that subsequent auction/settlement of the same land i.e. Raj Deohari has also been made by Bettiah Raj vide advertisement dated 24.05.14 and been settled to one Chandan Kumar be bad and contrary to settled principle of law.

(iii) For issuance of any other appropriate writ and/or direction in the matter considering the facts and circumstances of this case.”

3. A counter-affidavit has come on behalf of the respondent no. 6 and the learned State counsel has taken this Court to paragraph-13 to show that the 4% amount pursuant to the decision taken by the Board of Nagar Parishad, Bettiah of



Rs. 4,64,400/- against the settlement of Raj Dewari Taxi Stand, Bettiha has already been refunded to the writ petitioner on 10.01.2017.

4. This Court is convinced that no case is made out.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

