

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10258 of 2025

-
-
1. Rajesh Kumar Son of Devi Sao, Resident of Village- Sahoda, P.O- Maulanagar, P.S- Atri, District- Gaya.
 2. Suman Kumari W/o Rajesh Kumar, Resident of Village- Sahoda, P.O- Maulanagar, P.S- Atri, District- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through The Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reform Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya.
3. The Collector, Gaya.
4. The D.C.L.R., Neemchak Bathani, Gaya,
5. The Circle Officer, Neemchak Bathani, Gaya.
6. Arun Kumar S/o Srhi Mokhtar Prasad, R/o Village- Siyar Bhukka, P.O- Pathal Katti, P.S- Neemchak Bathani, Gaya.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajit Ranjan Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar, AC to Ex. G.A. (09)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

3 20-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief/s:

(i) for setting aside the order dated 18.01:2023 passed in Land Dispute Redressal Appeal Case No. 79 of 2024 by Commissioner, Magadh Division, Gaya by which an appeal preferred by the petitioner



*against the order dated 10.04.2024 passed in
Land Dispute Redressal Case No. 34 of 2024
by Land Reform Deputy Collector,
Neemchak Bathani (Gaya), has been
rejected;*

*(ii) this writ application is also being filed
for restraining the respondents from taking
any action for disposes the petitioners from
Land and house in question.*

3. In the presence of learned State counsel as also learned counsel representing the respondent no.06, learned counsel for the petitioners submit that he shall be fighting out the Title Suit No. 448 of 2024 pending before the competent Civil Court.

4. This Court has taken note of the fact that Title Suit No. 448 of 2024 (Rajesh Kumar and Another vs. Arun Kumar) is presently pending before a competent Court of Gaya judgship and as such granting liberty to the parties to agitate the matter before the said Court, the writ petition is disposed of.

5. The petitioner is free to raise all the points/development that has taken in between before the competent Court so that an appropriate order can be passed in



the matter.

6. Pending Interlocutory Application(s), if any also
stand(s) disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

