

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41646 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-39 Year-2026 Thana- VIGILANCE District- Patna

Shailendra Kumar Singh @ Shailendra Kumar S/o Devendra Kumar Singh  
Resident of Village - Ganauli, P.S.- Mashrakh, District – Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dy. S.P, Vigilance Investigation Bureau, 6 Circular Road, Patna Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                                                                                                        |
|----------------------|---|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. N.K. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate<br>Mr. Dewanand Tiwari, Advocate<br>Mr. Saroj Kumar Choudhary, Advocate |
| For the Vigilance    | : | Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate                                                                             |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Sanjay Kumar Pandey, APP                                                                           |

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      29-06-2026                      Heard learned senior counsel for the petitioner,  
  
learned counsel for the Vigilance and learned APP for the  
  
State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case  
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 7(a), 7(c)  
and 12 of P.C. Act and Section 61(2) of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the  
petitioner is a Block Supply Officer. It is alleged that while  
giving the allotment of the cereals, he took commission of Rs.  
25/- per quintal and that he was demanding Rs. 6,000/-  
through one middle man Manish Kumar. It is further alleged



that if the same will not be given to him, the license will be cancelled.

4. Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the Regional Supply Officer has got no power to cancel the license and that nothing has been demanded or received by him. It has further been submitted that Rs. 12,000/- was put in his pocket and trap was made. It has further been submitted that in this case, charge-sheet has already been filed. Petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 03.04.2026.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State and learned counsel for the Vigilance have vehemently opposed the application for bail. Learned counsel for the Vigilance has submitted that the petitioner was caught red-handed and pre and post-trap shows that he has taken Rs. 12,000/- as hush money.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named



petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special Judge (Vigilance)-cum-Additional Sessions Judge-II, Bhagalpur in connection with Vigilance P.S. Case No. 39 of 2026.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

lata/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

