

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44514 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-524 Year-2022 Thana- JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE
District- Jehanabad

=====

Sujit Bind @ Sujit Kumar S/O Shailendra Bind R/O Village- Golakpur, P.S.-
Ghoshi, Dist.- Jehanabad.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sukhni Devi W/O Sujit Bind, D/O Suren Bind R/O Village- Lodipur, P.S.-
Ghoshi, Distt.- Jehanabad.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjay Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Shailendra Kumar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 27-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The learned A.P.P., at the outset, submits that from perusal of the anticipatory bail application, it manifests that petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in Complaint Case No. 524 of 2022 dated 25.08.2022, in which cognizance was taken under Sections 498A and 504 of the I.P.C. and under Sections 3 and 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act. It is next submitted that after cognizance is taken, summons are issued and if petitioner based on summons appears before the learned Trial Court, the petitioner will not be arrested rather will join the proceedings before the learned Trial Court and for the said submission relies



on an order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in S.L.A. (Criminal) No.16221 of 2025 (Om Prakash Chhawnika @ Om Prakash Chabnika @ Om Prakash Chawnika vs. the State of Jharkhand and another) disposed off by an order dated 23.04.2026 and relies on Paras-8, 9 and 10 of the said order.

3. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner being husband has been falsely implicated in the instant case and the dispute is matrimonial, but then, is not in a position to rebut the submission made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State that since summons have been issued, the petitioner ought to have appeared before the learned Trial Court and joined the proceeding.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner fairly submits that till date non-bailable warrant of arrest had not been issued.

5. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the anticipatory bail application is disposed off with a liberty to the petitioner to appear before the learned Trial Court on or before 18.08.2026 with a copy of the order dated 23.04.2026 in S.L.A. (Criminal) No.16221 of 2025 (Om Prakash Chhawnika @ Om Prakash Chabnika @ Om Prakash Chawnika vs. the



State of Jharkhand and another) and the learned Trial Court shall
proceed strictly in accordance with the order of the Hon’ble
Supreme Court.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikram/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

