

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43837 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-144 Year-2026 Thana- KASBA District- Purnia

Ajay Kumar S/o Ramchandra Mirdha @ Ramchandar Mirdha Resident of vill
- Durgapur, ward no. 4, P.S.- Kasba, Distt.- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sumit Kumar Bhagat, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY

ORAL ORDER

2 08-07-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Kasaba P.S. Case No. 144 of 2026 for the offence under sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that on secret information, the house of Ramchandra Mirdha was raided and there is recovery/seizure of 12.250 liter foreign liquor. The allegation is that he along with his son (petitioner herein) used to sell liquor. Later, on his instance, house of Mithu Kumar was raided and there is another recovery of 262.360 liter foreign liquor. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the house belongs to his father, he is a student having no role to play



in the matter. Further, nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession and he has no criminal antecedent.

5. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on the judgment of the Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089** wherein it has been held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP, Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh opposes the prayer submitting that his father has given the name of the petitioner.

7. Taking into account the submissions of the parties and the judgment in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)**, as also that he do not have criminal antecedent, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail with conditions.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/-



(Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Exclusive Special Excise, Court No. 1, Purnea in connection with Kasaba P.S. Case No. 144 of 2026 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for co-operating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for six months to mark his attendance and after the end of the period, the certificate shall be submitted before the Trial Court failing which steps shall be taken for the cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for



cancellation of the bail bonds;

(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

