

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43360 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-116 Year-2026 Thana- NASRIGANJ District- Rohtas

Ravikishan Kumar Ram @ Ravi Kishan @ Garjan Son of Nathuni Paswan
R/O Village- Paiga, P.S.- Nasriganj, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 07-07-2026 Heard Mr. Nagendra Upadhyay, learned counsel for
the petitioner as well as Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since
13.04.2026 in connection with Nasriganj P.S. Case No. 116 of
2026, F.I.R. dated 08.04.2026 for the offences punishable under
Sections 309(4), 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023.

3. According to prosecution case, the informant
alleged that on 03.04.2026 at about 08.00 P.M, when he was
going to his sister's home and reached near paiga pul, this
petitioner along with two others apprehended him and
committed robbery of Rs.5000/- and one motorcycle.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. Infact the said motorcycle was sold by the informant to the petitioner on assurance that sale letter will be executed after sometime. It appears from the FIR that date of the occurrence was on 03.04.2026 but the FIR was lodge on 08.04.2026 i.e. after delay of about five days, after thought only to falsely implicat the petitioner in the present case. He next submits that after sometime both the parties have filed a compromise petition before learned trial court (Annexure-2). He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 13.04.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner is on bail in the said matter.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Bikramganj, Rohtas in



connection with Nasriganj P.S. Case No. 116 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

