

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43876 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-209 Year-2025 Thana- RANIGANJJ District- Saran

Vishal Kumar @ Chotu Ram S/o Ganesh Ram R/o Village- Nayka Barka Baiju Tola, P.S- Rivilganj, Dist- Saran, Bihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. XXXX W/o YYYY R/o Village- Nayka Barka Baiju Tola, P.S- Rivilganj, Dist- Saran, Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Saket Anand, Advocate
For the State : Mr.Md. Aslam Ansari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 08-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Rivilganj P.S. Case No. 209 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 137(2), 96 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and later on Sections 3 and 4 of the POCSO Act was added.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is accused kidnapping the victim girl.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned counsel further submits that the victim girl in her



statement has stated that she was in a relationship with the petitioner. The petitioner is in custody since 17.01.2026.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and the statement of the victim girl that she was in a relationship with the petitioner, this application is allowed.

7. Accordingly, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Exclusive Special Judge, POCSO Act, Saran at Chapra/ concerned Court below in connection with Rivilganj P.S. Case No. 209 of 2025.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

