

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9311 of 2026

-
-
1. Sachchidanand Singh Son of Late Sato Singh, resident of village- Ramchandarpur, P.S.- Pipariya, District- Lakhisarai.
 2. Hareram Singh, Son of Late Sato Singh, resident of village- Ramchandarpur, P.S.- Pipariya, District- Lakhisarai.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Revenue and Land Reforms Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Road Construction, Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Lakhisarai.
4. The Executive Engineer, Rural Works Department, Work Division, Sheikhpura.
5. The Executive Engineer, Road Division, Lakhisarai.
6. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Lakhisarai.
7. The Circle Officer, Pipariya, District- Lakhisarai.

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Standing Counsel (04)

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the grant of following relief(s):

*“(i) for issuance of a
writ/order/direction, restraining the respondents
more particular respondent no.4, 5 and 7 for
making any construction of P.W.D. Road from
Surjichak to Rahatpur Block Headquarter
Mohanpur via Ramchandrapur, over Ancestors
land of both the petitioner bearing Khata No.03,
Khesra No.218, Area-5 Acre 14 Decimal in*



respect of the petitioners within Mauza Aliwali, Anchal-Pipariya in the District of Lakhisarai over which the respondent no.4, 5 and 7 making forcefully construction of P.W.D. road without initiation of land acquisition proceeding and without making the statutory compensation;

(ii) for issuance of writ/writs, order/orders, direction commanding the respondent authorities to release the Ancestors land of the petitioners bearing Khata No.03, Khesra No. 218, Area-5 Acre 14 Decimal within Mauza Aliwali, Anchal-Pipariya in the District of Lakhisarai in their favour forthwith and not interfere with the possession of the petitioners without observing the due process of law;

(iii) the petitioners be also granted any other relief/reliefs for which the petitioners are entitled too.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has straightway taken this Court to the letter no. 4324 dated 26.12.2023 passed by the Collector, Lakhisarai, is directed to the Executive Engineer, Road Division, Lakhisarai as also the Circle Officer,



Pipariya and the content shows that the learned Collector wanted a report in this matter.

4. It is the case of the petitioner that even the direction of the Collector has not been taken note of by the concerned respondents.

5. In that background, instead of keeping the case pending and seeking response from the respondents, it would be appropriate that the writ petition is disposed of directing the Collector, Lakhisarai to act on his own letter no. 4324 dated 26.12.2023 and take the matter to its logical conclusion at an earliest.

6. It is further made clear that if the Collector, Lakhisarai comes to the conclusion that the grievance of the petitioners is/are genuine, the further steps, in accordance with law, has to be taken.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of with aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

