

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42317 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2025 Thana- NARPATGANJ District- Araria

Sonu Kumar Yadav @ Sonu Kr. Yadav S/o Late Bisnudeo Yadav @
Vishnudev Yadav R/o Village- Hanumannagar, Ward No. 01, P.S.- Narpatganj,
District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vijay Kishore Bharti, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Arun Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 16-03-2026 Heard Mr.Vijay Kishore Bharti, learned counsel
for the petitioner and Mr.Arun Kumar, learned A.P.P. for the
State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
21.02.2025 in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 55
of 2025, F.I.R. dated 12.02.2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 137(2),96 of BNS, 2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he
alongwith other co-accused persons have abducted the
daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner
submits that the allegation as alleged in the FIR is false and
fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as



alleged in the FIR. As per allegation in the FIR, the petitioner alongwith other co-accused persons have abducted the daughter of the informant. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the same set of allegation the co-accused persons, namely, Manjesh Yadav @ Pawan Kumar Yadav, Anjali Kumari and Shambhu Kumar Yadav have been granted privilege of anticipatory bail by a Coordinate Bench of this Hon'ble Court vide orders dated 02.07.2025 and 29.08.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. Nos.37461 of 2025 and 47592 of 2025 respectively. Further submits that the statement of the victim under Section 183 of BNSS, 2023 was recorded in which she has not stated anything about the sexual assault apart from the aforesaid, she has refused for her medical examination and police, after investigation, submitted the chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 21.02.2025.

5. Learned APP for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that from a bare perusal of the statement of the victim under Section 183 of BNSS, 2023 which suggests that the petitioner has forcefully performed the marriage with the victim. Further submits that the petitioner carries two more cases other than



the present one but he fairly submits that the petitioner is on bail in both the cases, as mentioned in para-3 of the bail petition.

6. Considering the aforesaid fact, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned CJM, Araria in connection with Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 55 of 2025, with the following conditions:-

(I) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his/her absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his/her bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(II) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(III) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for



cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Nitesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

