

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43468 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-100 Year-2026 Thana- SARAI RANJAN District- Samastipur

1. Ganesh Puri Son of Mohril Puri Resident of Village - Raypur Buzurg, P.S.- Sarairanjan, District - Samastipur
2. Mithun Puri @ Mithun Kumar Puri Son of Ramashish Puri Resident of Village - Raypur Buzurg, P.S.- Sarairanjan, District - Samastipur
3. Kundan Puri @ Kundan Kumar Puri Son of Muneshwar Puri @ Bhuneshwar Puri Resident of Village - Raypur Buzurg, P.S.- Sarairanjan, District - Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brajesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Madhura Nand Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-07-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 100 of 2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 115(2), 126(2), 109(1), 117(2), 324(4), 351(2), 352, 329(4) of the BNS.

3. The allegation in the FIR is that, at gunpoint, accused Mithun Puri forcibly took away Rs. 22,000/- in cash, accused Ganesh Puri snatched a golden ring, and accused



Sachin Puri took away the informant's wrist watch. It is further alleged that all the accused persons assaulted the informant, demanded an extortion amount of Rs. 50,000/-, and pressurized him to compromise Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 46 of 2026.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both parties are co-villagers and there is a case and counter-case between them. It is submitted that the first case is Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 99 of 2026 dated 27.04.2026, whereas the present case, in which the petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail, is Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 100 of 2026 dated 27.04.2026. The allegation in both the cases are almost similar in nature, whereas, in the second case they have been robbed. It is further submitted that there is no injury upon the informant side and this fact is also clear from the plain reading of FIR itself, as there is nothing in the FIR that which part of the body has received injury. He has further submitted that similarly situated co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Hon'ble Court vide order dated 17.06.2026 in Cr. Misc. Case No. 38533 of 2026.

5. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail vehemently.

6. Having considered the rival submissions, this Court



finds that, although the petitioners are named in the FIR and there are allegations of assault and robbery against them, the FIR does not disclose any specific injury sustained by the informant, nor there is any injury report brought on record in support of the prosecution case and a co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail. Accordingly, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from the date of this order, the petitioners shall be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court concerned in connection with Sarairanjan P.S. Case No. 100 of 2026, subject to the conditions prescribed under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)

rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

