

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1793 of 2023

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1280 of 2021

=====

Mohan Lal Sah, Son of Nand Lal Sah Resident of Semapur Bazar, P.S.-
Barari, District- Katihar.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Mr. Aamir Subhani, Bihar, Patna.
2. Mr. Chaitanya Prasad, the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Chaitanya Prasad, the Secretary, Minor Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Om Prakash Singh, the Engineer-in-Chief (Central), Minor Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
5. Mr. Om Prakash Singh, the Engineer-in-Chief, Water Resources Department, Bihar, Patna.
6. Mr. Om Prakash Singh, the Chief Engineer, Minor Water Resources Department, Patna.
7. Mr. Alok Prasad, the Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Division, Kaimur at Mohania.
8. Mr. S.K. Khanna, the Director, Provident Fund, Indira Bhawan, Patna.
9. Shri Praveen Kumar Singh, the Accountant General of Bihar, Veer Chand Patel Path, Patna.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Prabhu Nath Pathak, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Venkatesh Kirti, AC to GA- 2
For the AG, Bihar	:	Mr. Amarshakti, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HARISH KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

9 16-01-2026 Heard the parties.

2. Show-cause affidavits have been filed on behalf of
opposite parties showing compliance of the order of this Court
dated 07.11.2022, passed in C.W.J.C. No. 1280 of 2021.

3. Learned Advocate for the petitioner, however,



refuting the aforesaid contention submitted that though the petitioner was entitled to get the benefit of earned leave for a period of 300 days, but he has been paid the amount of earned leave for only 131 days, out of 300 days and, as such, in the humble submission of the petitioner, the order of this Court has not been complied with in its letter and spirit.

4. Learned Advocate for the State submitted that the petitioner has been accorded all the benefits as per his admissibility in terms with the statutory rules.

5. Be that as it may, considering the aforesaid submissions set forth and the averments made in the show-cause affidavits, the present contempt petition stands closed.

6. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to agitate his grievance, if he is not satisfied with the decision of the authorities concerned.

(Harish Kumar, J)

uday/-

U			
---	--	--	--

