

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 10157 of 2026

=====

Kailash Banarasi @ Kailash Prasad Banarasi Son of Ganesh Banarasi,
Resident of Khajekalan, Sbji Mandir Near Shiv Mandir, Patna City, P.O-
Jhauganj, P.S- Khajekalan, Ranipur Milki Chak, Patna City, District- Patna,
Bihar- 800008.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms,
Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The District Magistrate, Patna, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Saran, Saran.
5. The Circle Officer, Patna, District- Patna.
6. The Circle Officer, Sonapur, Vaishali.
7. The SDO, Patna City, District - Patna.
8. D.C.L.R., Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Mritunjay Kumar, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr.Sunil Kumar Mandal, SC-03
		Ms. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC-03

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 20-07-2026 Heard Mr. Mritunjay Kumar, learned counsel for the

petitioner and learned counsel representing the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief/s:

*(i) for issuance of appropriate
writ/writs directing the respondent authorities to
get the property under dispute demarcated, after
demarcation and scrutiny of the document/*



documents produced by the petitioner and upon the said scrutiny if all the documents are found in order not to disturbed the peaceful possession of the petitioner in connection with the land in dispute;

(ii) for a further direction to the respondent authorities not to take any coercive steps against the petitioner till the property in dispute is demarcated and the documents pertaining to title over the land in dispute produced by the petitioner are examined;

(iii) for a direction to the respondent authorities to produce all the records pertaining to the land under dispute so as to facilitate proper adjudication of the case in the fact and circumstances articulated herein after.

3. After some argument, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner shall be submitting a suitable reply to the notice and it is expected that unless the same is taken to its logical conclusion, the respondents shall not take any coercive steps.

4. Learned State counsel submits that once notice has



been issued and the petitioner will reply to it, the natural process is that the respondents will be taking the matter to its logical conclusion after going through the show cause as also the report so submitted.

5. Accepting the words of learned Law Officer on matter and also expecting the respondents to act strictly in accordance with law, once the notice is suitably replied, granting liberty, if any, the writ petition is disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

