

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42672 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-192 Year-2026 Thana- MAJHAULIA District- West Champaran

Chanki Pandey @ Mritunjay Pandey @ Mirtunjay Pandey S/O Nand Lal Pandey @ Om Prakash Pandey R/O Village- Chailabhar, Police Station- Majhauiliya, District- West Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 06-07-2026 Heard Mr. Brij Kishor Mishra, learned counsel for the petitioner as well as Mr. Ram Sevak Choudhary, learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail who is in custody since 22.02.2026 in connection with Majhauiliya P.S. Case No. 192 of 2026, F.I.R. dated 21.02.2026 for the offences punishable under Sections 111(2), (b), 111(4) of the Bharatiya Nyay Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1-B)(a), 26, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. According to prosecution case, it is alleged that the petitioner along with other accused persons were involved in illegal activities at R.K jewellery shop.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the



present case. It appears from the FIR that no incriminating articles have been recovered from the possession of the petitioner. From bare perusal of the seizure list it suggest that only one mobile phone was recovered from the possession of the petitioner and arms and other articles have been recovered from the possession of other co-accused persons. He next submits that petitioner has been made accused merely on the ground that he was present at the place of occurrence along with the co-accused persons. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted the charge sheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 22.02.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner carries nine criminal antecedents other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail application that the petitioner is on bail in the said matters.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bettiah, West Champaran in connection



with Majhauriya P.S. Case No. 192 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Suruchi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

