

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43296 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-136 Year-2026 Thana- BAHERI District- Darbhanga

1. Manraj Yadav @ Manrai Jabab Son of Late Uchit Yadav Resident of Village- Bihrauna, P.S.- Baheri, District- Darbhanga
2. Rambabu Yadav Son of Manraj Yadav @ Manrai Jabab Resident of Village- Bihrauna, P.S.- Baheri, District- Darbhanga
3. Bablu Yadav Son of Ramjatan Yadav Resident of Village- Bihrauna, P.S.- Baheri, District- Darbhanga

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saurav Anand

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rajendra Nath Jha

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 16-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 118(1), 109(1), 303(2), 74, 352 and 351(2) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that on 05.03.2026 at about 6:00 A.M., all the named accused persons along with 5-6 unknown accused came to his house and Manraj gave order to kill on which Ankit and Bablu



(petitioner no. 3) assaulted the informant's elder brother Kalyan Yadav by means of *farsa* causing injury, thereafter Rambabu (petitioner no. 2) and Sudhanshu assaulted the informant's sons, namely, Gagan and Aman by *farsa* causing injury on their head, it is next alleged that Manraj, Ankit, Bablu, Rambabu, Sudhanshu and other co-accused persons assaulted the informant causing fracture injury on his hand and Shamla Devi, Anuradha Devi and Mamta Devi snatched the mangalsutra of informant's wife.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant on account of dispute relating to property, it is further submitted that informant is own nephew of petitioner no. 1, it is also submitted that no doubt injury suffered by the injured i.e. informant, has been opined to be grievous in nature but then the injury is on hand that is non-vital part of the body and the injury of rest of the injured has been opined to be simple in nature. It is further submitted that from side of the petitioners Baheri P.S. Case No. 141 of 2026 has been instituted against the informant and his side and petitioner no. 1 herein suffered grievous injury on account of assault made by the side of the informant and others on head as would manifest from annexure



3 to the anticipatory bail application it is also submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then on account of dispute relating to property, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place and the petitioners are not criminals.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners, let the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor Court in connection with Baheri P.S. Case No. 136 of 2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikram/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

