IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43859 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010603102026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-172 Year-2025 Thana- FATEHPUR District- Gaya

==========

Lalu Kumar S/O Vijay Yadav R/O Village - Buttu Bigha, P.S- Fatehpur, Dist- Gaya

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X S/o Y R/O Village - Buttu Bigha,Panchayat - Bhetora, P.S- Fatehpur, Dist- Gaya

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Sinha, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Pushpa Sinha.1, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA

ORAL ORDER

4 04-11-2026 **1.** Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Fatehpur P.S. Case No. 172 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 75, 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 140(3), 303(2), 190 and 191(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita and Section 12 of POCSO Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that this petitioner acts inappropriately with his sister and even called her on his mobile no. 9142578109 which was picked by his mother and the petitioner asked her to make him

WEB COPY

talk to his sister, on which his mother disconnected the call, but then inappropriate act of the petitioner continued with his sister, next alleges that on the date of occurrence, the petitioner fired in the air and also assaulted him by butt of pistol causing injury on head and also snatched his iPhone 13 mobile and with the help of other co-accused tried to abduct him, but then a police vehicle was coming, hence the accused persons on seeing police fled.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, the allegation appears to be general and omnibus in nature.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that informant is brother of the victim and as brother he has instituted the instant FIR that how petitioner is harassing his sister and when the family objected, the occurrence is alleged to have been committed. It is also submitted that sister of the informant is a minor aged about 15 years, as would manifest from the FIR itself. It is further submitted that investigation in the case is continuing and if the petitioner is innocent, police will submit

WEB COPY

final form exonerating the petitioner of the allegation.

6. Considering the submission made by learned APP, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	

WEB COPY