

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.9932 of 2025

Hospito India Medical Foundation Ltd a company incorporated under Companies Act, 1965 having its office at A-21, Buddha Colony, Boring Canal Road, Patna 800001 through its Director Shri Arun Kumar Sinha (Male aged about 70 years), son of Awadhesh Kumar Sinha Saryar, House No.91, Saryar Villa, Montessori School Lane, Boring Road, Patna G.P.O., Patna, Bihar - 800001.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Principal Commissioner of Income Tax-1 having its office at Bir Chand Patel Path, Central Revenue Building, Patna 800001.
2. Dy. Commissioner of Income Tax, Circle -1, Patna
3. Asst. Commissioner of Income Tax, Circle -1, Patna

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. D.V. Pathy, Sr. Adv. Mr. Sadashiv Tiwari, Adv.
For the Respondent/s	:	Ms. Archana Sinha, Sr. S.C.IT Ms. Shilpi Keshri, Jr. S.C.IT Mr. Alok Kumar Shahi, Adv.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH
and
HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ KUMAR
ORAL ORDER
(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE MOHIT KUMAR SHAH)

4 17-06-2026 The present writ petition has been filed seeking the following reliefs:-

“i) the notice dated 24.02.2021 (as contained in Annexure -P 5) issued by the respondent no.2 informing outstanding demand of ₹55,81,121 on account of regular Income Tax demand for the Assessment Year 2006-07 also displayed on the web portal of the Income Tax Department in absence of any such demand raised in pursuance of an order of assessment be set aside and quashed.”

2. At the outset, the learned senior counsel for the respondents by referring to paragraphs No. 6 & 9 of the counter affidavit filed in the present case submits that the grievances of



the petitioner have already stood redressed. It would be apposite to reproduce paragraphs 6 & 9 of the counter affidavit filed in the present case hereinbelow:-

“6. That as on date, there is no outstanding demand whatsoever pertaining to A.Y. 2006-07 reflected on the ITBA portal in the case of the Petitioner. The very basis of the present writ petition, namely the alleged outstanding demand of Rs. 55,81,121/-, therefore does not survive and the writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

9. That with regard to the contents of Paragraph No. 1, 2 of the writ petition under reply, it is humbly submitted that at present there is no demand pertaining to A.Y. 2006-07 outstanding on the ITBA portal in the case of the Petitioner-assessee, and the grievance, if any, of the Petitioner stands fully redressed. The remaining averments are matters of record and do not call for any specific reply.

3. At this juncture, the learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that since the respondents have accepted in the counter affidavit that at present there is no demand pertaining to assessment year 2006-07, outstanding on the ITBA portal, qua the petitioner, the grievances of the petitioner have stood redressed, hence the present writ petition be disposed of.

4. Accordingly, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

(Mohit Kumar Shah, J)

(Raj Kumar, J)

kanchan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

