

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44434 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2026 Thana- JHAJHA District- Jamui

Binod Yadav @ Vinod Yadav Son of Nand Kishor yadav @ Nand Keshwar
Yadav Resident of Vill -Parasi PS -Jhajha Dist- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vikramadit

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar No. 2

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 22-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 190,
191(2), 115(2), 126(2), 74, 109, 351(2) and 352 of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that his son and daughter-in-law were sleeping in their
old house, when petitioner is his agnate on 11.03.2026 at 9:30
p.m. started peeping inside the house through a hole which was
witnessed by the informant, on objection, petitioner along with
five named accused persons came and started abusing and
petitioner assaulted him by an axe causing injury on head,
further his father and wife were also assaulted, accordingly the



police was informed.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant being agnate of the informant, it is also submitted that there is a dispute in between the parties with regard to the land, it is further submitted that from side of the petitioner also Jhajha P.S. Case No. 117 of 2026 has been instituted against the informant and his side.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that his son and daughter-in-law were sleeping in their old house and petitioner was peeping inside the room through a hole and when the same was objected, the occurrence is alleged to have been committed, it is next submitted that no father would allege such an allegation knowing its repercussion in the village, it is also submitted that there is a specific allegation against the petitioner of assaulting the informant by an axe causing injury on head i.e. vital part of the body and the opinion with regard to the injury is reserved. It is further submitted that investigation is continuing and if petitioner is innocent police will submit final form.



6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to extend the privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Hence, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikram/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

