

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41911 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-683 Year-2020 Thana- KATIHAR COMPLAINT CASE
District- Katihar

=====

Md. Gulam Haidar @ Gulam Haidar @ Gholam Haidar @ Gulam Hedar Son
of Doman Alam @ Doman Resident of Village -Rounia PS -Kadwa District
-Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. [REDACTED] Resident of Village -Rounia PS
-Kadwa District -Katihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bimal Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Aditya Narayan Singh.1, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 29-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection
with Complaint Case No.683 of 2020 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 376, 323, 406 of the Indian Penal
Code.

3. The case of the prosecution based on complaint
petition is that the petitioner has committed rape with the
complainant for last three years on the false promise of
marriage.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner has submitted that from perusal of the complain



petition it is clear that it is alleged that the petitioner has committed rape with the victim on false promise of marriage for last three years but there is no explanation as to why the complain was not filed earlier. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in solemn information the victim herself has stated that on 09.06.2025 the villagers have seen the petitioner with the complainant in compromising position. It is further alleged that on same day, the petitioner called her to bamboo clamps where the petitioner committed rape with her. It has further been submitted that it is a case of relationship between two adults and breakup thereafter. The allegation of rape is alleged as she was found in compromising position with the petitioner. It has been submitted that the petitioner is having no criminal antecedent and he is in judicial custody since 25.03.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned
District and Additional Sessions Judge-I, Katihar in connection
with Complaint Case No.683 of 2020.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

