

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41786 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-92 Year-2026 Thana- KARAHGAR District- Rohtas

1. Munna Singh @ Yogendra Singh @ Yogendra Kumar Son of Kedar Singh Resident of Village - Karaghar, P.S. - Karaghar, District - Rohtas
2. Ravindra Singh @ Ravindra Kumar Singh son of Kedar Singh Resident of Village - Karaghar, P.S. - Karaghar, District - Rohtas
3. Chandrawati Devi Wife of Kedar Singh Resident of Village - Karaghar, P.S. - Karaghar, District - Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Kumar Sunil, Adv
	:	Mr. Saharsh Shubham, Adv
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Yogendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-06-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners are named in the F.I.R. and apprehending their arrest in connection with Karaghar P.S. Case No. 92/2026 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 127(1), 109 and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. As per FIR, petitioners alleged to assault wife of informant and others during the occurrence causing head and bodily injuries having intention to cause death of the injured, where alleged occurrence took place due to neighborhood disputes and differences.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners that present occurrence appears free



fight in nature, where both parties received injuries, therefore, it cannot be said that petitioners were under intention to cause death of wife of informant and other persons. It is also submitted that for the same set of occurrence a case has been registered by petitioner's side, which has been lodged as Karaghar P.S. Case No. 93/2026. It is submitted that allegation *qua* committing physical assault by petitioners appears very much general and omnibus in nature, where implication of petitioner no. 3 appears being mother of petitioner no. 1 and 2. It is pointed out that upon medical examination injury as alleged to be caused by petitioners upon wife of the informant found simple in nature except on the forehead, which was found fractured and opined as grievous. It is submitted that said grievous injury was found on non-vital part of the body, which further negates "intention to cause death". Petitioners claimed clean antecedent.

5. Learned APP opposes the prayer of bail.

6. In view of aforesaid factual submission and by taking note of fact that as prima-facie occurrence was free fight in nature, where both parties received injuries, coupled with the fact as injury inflicted by petitioners during the occurrence found simple in nature except one injury which was on the non-



vital part of the body prima-facie negating intention to cause death, accordingly all above-named petitioners, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks, are directed to be released on bail, furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned ACJM-V, Rohtas at Sasaram/concerned Court, where the case is pending in connection with Karaghar P.S. Case No. 92/2026, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

S.Tripathi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

