

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42071 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-413 Year-2025 Thana- PAKRIDAYAL District- East Champaran

=====

Rubi Devi @ Rubi Kumari Wife of Meghnath Rai @ Meghnath Kumar
Resident of village - Majhar, Ps- Pakaridayal, Dist- East champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hemant Ray, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Anil Prasad Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN

ORAL ORDER

2 01-07-2026 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner who apprehends arrest in connection
with Pakridayal P.S. Case No. 413 of 2025 lodged on
05.09.2025 for the offences punishable under sections 80,
103(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, the present FIR has been
lodged with an allegation of killing the informant's daughter.

4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner
that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence.



The antecedent of the petitioner is clean. Learned Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is the *gotni* of the deceased. He further submits that in this case the husband of the accused has not been made accused while accusation of causing death by strangulation has been made. He further submits that the petitioner was living separately with her husband and she has nothing to do with the deceased family. Learned counsel further submits that the post-mortem report has come which suggests that the death has been caused due to hanging. There was a ligature mark on the neck. Counsel further submits that the learned Principal District and Sessions Judge has acknowledged in his rejection order about paragraph 51 and 52 wherein the witnesses have disclosed that the victim has committed suicide in anger due to some hot exchange of words.

5. On the other hand, Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that in the FIR, it has been categorically alleged that the present petitioner used to quarrel with the deceased. He further submits that the cause of committing suicide has come in the case diary which suggests that due to hot exchange of words between the deceased and the petitioner, the victim committed suicide.

6. In this background, this Court is not inclined to



grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner in connection with Pakridayal P.S. Case No. 413 of 2025, pending before the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, East Champaran, Motihari is hereby rejected.

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

Manshi/Ashwini

U		T	
---	--	---	--

