

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43510 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-213 Year-2025 Thana- KOILWAR District- Bhojpur

Md. Abrar Ansari @ Rinku Son of Md. Barkat Ali @ Late Barkat Ali R/o
Village - Chatar Kajichak, P.S.- Barhara, District - Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Yasmin Parveen Wife of Md. Abrar Ansari @ Rinku D/o , R/o Village -
Chatar Kajichak, P.S.- Barhara, District - Bhojpur, Presently Residing
Village Koilwar, Ward No. 13, P.S.- Koilwar, District - Bhojpur.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Advocate. Mr. Amish Kumar No I, Advocate. Mr. Ishaan Raj, Advocate., Advocate.
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Surendra Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 08-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 85, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1),
109, 329(4), 352, 351(2) and 351(3) of the B.N.S., 2023 and
Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
informant was married to the petitioner two years ago. She is
also having a daughter out of wedlock. It is alleged that the
petitioner was in the habit of using intoxicants and she was
subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of the dowry



demand of Rs. 50,000/-. It is further alleged that a *panchayati* has also taken place between the parties and the parties were ready for divorce and to go to *Imarat Sariya* for the divorce, but as the petitioner could not reach there, the divorce could not be finalized. It is further alleged that while the informant was alone at her house, the petitioner entered the house and assaulted her with knife.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that it is much clearer from the FIR that the informant does not want to continue the matrimonial relationship, and as far as the allegation of cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of the dowry demand is concerned, that is only a superaddition. Regarding injuries, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that *Annexure-3* is the injury report, which goes to show that the informant has received the following injuries:

(i) lacerated wound of size 1" x ½" x ¼" on her left forearm.

(ii) lacerated wound of size ½" x ½" x ¼" on the left breast.



The doctor has opined that injuries nos. 1 and 2 are simple in nature, caused by hard and blunt substance, and regarding injury no. 3, it is said that the same is also simple in nature. He further submits that the present case has been filed only with a view to malice the petitioner. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 01.01.2026.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Koilwar P.S. Case No. 213 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

