IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.43878 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010596042026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-214 Year-2026 Thana- SUGAULI District- East Champaran

==========

Lalsa Devi Wife of Ranjan Ram R/o Village - Sugau Dhangartoli Ward no. 9, P.S. - Sugauli, Dist. - East Champaran.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X S/o Y R/o Village - Sugau Dhangartoli Ward no. 9, P.S. - Sugauli, Dist. - East Champaran.

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sarvesh Kashyap, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Iftekhar Mahmood, APP

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

5 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State. Despite information given to O.P. No.2 through learned APP for the State, none appears for the opposite party No.2. Perused the case diary.

2. From perusal of the record, it appears that petitioner was enlarged on provisional bail vide order dated 08.07.2026 in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 214 of 2026 instituted for the offence under Sections 137(2) & 87 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 8 & 12 of the POCSO Act.

WEB COPY

3. Prosecution case in short is that the son of the petitioner has allegedly abducted the minor daughter of the informant.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner was in custody since 25.04.2026. Petitioner bears no criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner mainly submits that petitioner happens to be the mother of the accused who allegedly abducted the minor daughter of the informant due to which she has been roped in this case. It is next submitted that victim in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, 2023, has not stated anything against the petitioner and she herself has deposed that she has performed marriage with the son of the petitioner.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, provisional bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 08.07.2026 in connection with Sugauli P.S. Case No. 214

WEB COPY

of 2026 is hereby confirmed.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	

WEB COPY