

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40845 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2024 Thana- JANDAHA District- Vaishali

Manjay Kumar @ Manjay Sahani Son of Brind Sahani Resident Of Village
-Dih Bucchauli Ps -Mahisour District -Vaishail

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Nachiketa Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tapeswar Sharma, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 17-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with S.T.
No. 764 of 2025, arising out of Jandaha P.S. Case No. 71 of
2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 302/34 of the
Indian Penal Code and Section 27 of the Arms Act.

3. This is the third attempt of the petitioner for bail.
The petitioner has renewed his prayer for grant of regular bail
which was earlier rejected on merit by this Court vide orders
dated 15.04.2025 and 31.10.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No.
19902 of 2025 and Cr. Misc. No. 75781 of 2025, respectively,
taking into account no fresh ground to reconsider the matter and
with a liberty to renew his prayer for bail in six months if trial is



not concluded in the last rejection order.

4. In compliance of the order dated 19.06.2026, a report dated 03.07.2026, with regard to the present stage of trial has been received. From perusal of the aforesaid report, it appears that three (3) out of five (5) prosecution witnesses have been examined in this case. It is further reported that trial is likely to be concluded within a period of two months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 05.11.2024, without any rhymes or reason, having no criminal antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future, hence, petitioner may be enlarged on bail.

6. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for grant of bail.

7. There is no new ground to consider the bail petition of the petitioner. From the aforesaid report, it appears that the trial is going on and is at an advance stage.

8. In view of the above, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is again ***rejected*** with a direction to the court below to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from today. If the trial



is not concluded within the period of two months, as stated above, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer before the court below.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

