

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42925 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-381 Year-2025 Thana- BIRPUR District- Supaul

Ganga Deo @ Ganga Prasad Deo @ Ganga Prasad S/o Late Hardeo Lal Deo
R/o Village - Sitapur, Ward No. 10, P.S.- Birpur, District- Supaul

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Mandal, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Umeshanand Pandit, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 01-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 103(1), 61(2) and 3(5) of the
B.N.S., 2023.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the
petitioner, along with others, arrived at the place of occurrence
armed with sharp-cutting weapons and surrounded the husband
of the informant. It is alleged that Santosh Paswan gave a *dabia*
blow on the parietal region of the victim's head, Ramji Paswan
gave two blows on the victim's face, and Nageshwar Paswan
inflicted a cut injury on the victim's neck, as a result of which he
died on the spot.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submits that, from perusal of the FIR, it will transpire that though the petitioner has been arrayed as an accused, no specific overt role has been attributed to him. It is further submitted that no weapon has been attributed to the petitioner. The main thrust of allegations are against Santosh Paswan, Ramji Paswan and Nageshwar Paswan, while the allegations against the remaining accused persons, including the petitioner, are general and omnibus in nature. Learned counsel further submits that, during the course of investigation, it has come that the petitioner's alleged role the petitioner was there only in the conspiracy. It is submitted that the petitioner neither assaulted the deceased nor given any injury assault or blow to the deceased. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 19.11.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that the petitioner has got criminal antecedent of four cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail *with the conditions*



that the petitioner shall cooperate in trial, shall remain physically present on each and every date fixed by the learned court below and one of the bailors shall be his near relative.

The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Supaul in connection with Birpur P.S. Case No. 381 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

