

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.44533 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-457 Year-2024 Thana- GHORASAHAN District- East
Champaran

=====

Subhash Singh @ Raj Kishor Singh @ Ram Kishor Singh @ Subash Singh
Son of Late Ramji Singh Resident of Village- Parariya, P.S.- Ghorasahan,
District- East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, Advocate
For the Informant No. 2 :	Mr. Dhananjay Kumar No. 2, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 03-07-2026 Heard Mr. Madhurendra Kumar, learned counsel for

the petitioner, Mr. Dhananjay Kumar No. 2, learned counsel for

the Informant and Mr. Shyam Kumar Singh, learned APP for

the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
30.04.2026, in connection with Ghorasahan P.S. Case No. 457
of 2025, F.I.R. dated 05.12.2024 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2),
303(2) 352, 351(2) and 3(5) of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he along
with other co-accused person have assaulted to the father-in-law
of the informant by means of *farsha* due to which he received



injury.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that the allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioner has not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that it appears from the F.I.R. that due to some petty dispute the present occurrence had taken place. Although, there is specific allegation against the petitioner that he has assaulted the father-in-law of the informant and he has received injury and the injury report of the father-in-law suggests that the injury is grievous in nature. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that from perusal of the injury report which suggests that due to fracture in left shoulder the injury is grievous in nature which is not on the vital part of the body of injured person. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 30.04.2026.

5. Learned counsel for the Informant as well as learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State, on the other hand, vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that there is specific and direct allegation of assault



attributed against the petitioner and apart from aforesaid petitioner carries two more cases other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition, the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Sub-Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sikrahana, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Ghorasahan P.S. Case No. 457 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his



criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

