IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.42991 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010591362026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-127 Year-2026 Thana- JANKINAGAR District- Purnia

==========

Mohammad Ainul @ Md. Ainul S/O Late Md. Rafique R/O Village- Binovagram, Ward No. 04, P.S- Jankinagar, Distt.- Purnea.

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Udaychand Prasad, Advocate
Ms. Pooja Prasad, Advocate
Ms. Rishi Sinha, Advocate
Mr. Vivekanand Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Satyendra Prasad

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

7 04-11-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State. Perused the case diary.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Jankinagar P.S. Case No. 127 of 2026 instituted for the offence under Sections 178, 179, 180, 61 & 61(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the petitioner was allegedly involved in a counterfeit currency racket and, pursuant to his disclosure, counterfeit currency notes worth Rs.79,800/-, genuine currency notes worth Rs.45,500/- and one Vivo mobile phone were recovered from his house. It is further

WEB COPY

alleged that the petitioner was operating the said racket in connivance with the co-accused persons and used to procure counterfeit currency notes from his associates.

4. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 15.04.2026. Petitioner bears one criminal antecedent, as per disclosure made in paragraph No. 3 of the bail application.

5. It has been further submitted by the petitioner's counsel that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no counterfeit currency notes were recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, whereas the genuine currency notes recovered belong to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that charge in this case is framed and out of four prosecution witnesses, none is examined till date. There is no compliance of Section 103 of the BNSS, 2023.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

7. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner and charge being framed in this case, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

WEB COPY

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Jankinagar P.S. Case No. 127 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	

WEB COPY