

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40936 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-370 Year-2023 Thana- JAHANABAD District- Jehanabad

Sablu Kumar Son of Mahesh Yadav @ Islam Yadav Resident of Village-
Uber, P.S.- Ghosi, District- Jehanabad

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ajit Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-06-2026 Heard Mr. Mukesh Kumar, learned counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Ajit Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
24.04.2026, in connection with Jehanabad (Karauna) P.S. Case
No. 370 of 2023, F.I.R. dated 24.04.2023 registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 420, 406, 34 of the Indian
Penal Code.

3. Allegation against the petitioner is that he has taken
the loan from the informant to the tune of Rs. 46,000/- but he
has not refunded the amount to the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and he has been falsely implicated in the
present case. In fact, the petitioner has taken the loan from the
informant, namely, Manoj Kumar to the tune of Rs. 46,000/- and



thereafter he has applied for loan from the Mahindra Finance but the same has been rejected by the Mahindra Finance due to some technical reason. Thereafter, the informant has received the tractor and he has filed the present case after two months of the date of occurrence only to harass the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that it has come during investigation in paragraph-32 of the case diary that the tractor in question is in possession of the informant, namely, Manoj Kumar and the petitioner is in custody since 24.04.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries two more cases other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jehanabad in connection with Jehanabad (Karauna) P.S. Case No. 370 of 2023, subject to the following conditions :-



(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

