

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41542 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-326 Year-2024 Thana- GAUTAMBUDHNAGAR District-
Siwan

-
1. Raghunandan Singh Son of Late Ramashish Singh Resident of Village-
Surwala, P.S.- Gautam Budha Nagar, District- Siwan
 2. Jagmato Devi @ Jagmati Devi Wife of Raghunandan Singh Resident of
Village- Surwala, P.S.- Gautam Budha Nagar, District- Siwan
 3. Priyanka Kumari Daughter of Raghunandan Singh Resident of Village-
Surwala, P.S.- Gautam Budha Nagar, District- Siwan
 4. Raj Mohan Kumar @ Raj Mohan singh Son of Raghunandan singh Resident
of Village- Surwala, P.S.- Gautam Budha Nagar, District- Siwan
 5. Anil Singh Son of Mahajan singh Resident of Village- Ukhai tola madhiya,
Ps- Pachrukhi (Sarai OP) Dist- siwan
 6. Premta Devi wife of Anil Singh Resident of Village- Ukhai tola madhiya,
Ps- Pachrukhi (Sarai OP) Dist- siwan
 7. Gayatri Devi wife of Prameshwar Singh @ Prameshwar Kumar Prasad
Resident of village- Manguraha, Ps- Yadopur, Dist- Gopalganj

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Javed Aslam
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 4 13-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and
learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 120B
of the Indian Penal Code.
3. The Investigating Officer of the case in compliance
of the order dated 8-7-2026 is present in the Court.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner Nos. 1, 2, 3 and 6 have antecedent of one case, but then the said case was also filed by the informant and his side and petitioner No. 4, 5 and 7 are persons with clean antecedent and petitioner No. 2, 3 and 7 are women and the informant alleges that her father on 23.06.2024 was sleeping in his bathan in the night, but in the morning was found dead, thus alleges that accused persons including the petitioners on account of land dispute killed him.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that s that petitioners have been falsely implicated in the instant case on account of dispute relating to land, which was existing in between the parties. It is further submitted informant is not an eye witness to the occurrence and the entire allegation hinges around suspicion.

6. On query of the court from the Investigating Officer of the case that as to how the deceased died, it is submitted that the postmortem report records the cause of death as throttling, it is also submitted that in the FSL report no poison was found but then it is fairly submitted that he has received charge of the investigation of the case in December, 2025 and from perusal of the investigation carried out by his predecessors,



it would manifest that no material transpired connecting the petitioners with the offence except the informant in her restatement disclosed that land of the petitioners is adjacent to the bathan where her father was sleeping and the accused persons were seeing moving around, on which the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits that the restatement has been made only to implicate the petitioners. Learned counsel for the petitioners also submits that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioners will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioners.

8. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with G.B. Nagar P.S. Case No. 326 of 2024, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.



9. However, it is made clear that in the event, if the Investigating Officer of the case files an application before the learned trial court bringing to its notice that the petitioners, despite giving assurance to this Court, are not cooperating in the investigation, in that event, the learned trial court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioners.

10. The personal appearance of the Investigating Officer of the case is dispensed with.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

