

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.40550 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-283 Year-2026 Thana- RAMPUR District- Gaya

-
1. Chotu Kumar @ Anurag Kumar S/o Prabhat Ranjan @ Nigam Prasad Resident of Village- Jail Road, Rampur, P.S.- Rampur, District- Gayaji
 2. Aniket Kumar S/o Prabhat Ranjan @ Nigam Prasad Resident of Village- Jail Road, Rampur, P.S.- Rampur, District- Gayaji

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sunil Kumar Yadav

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Kanhiya Kishor

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 23-06-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1 has antecedent of one case under the Excise Act and petitioner no.2 is a person with clean antecedent and the allegation is of recovery of 15.3 litres of liquor from a place near bank of a canal.
4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was



recovered from their conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a place, which does not belong to the petitioners and is accessible to public at large and they came to be implicated at the instance of local person, but the name of the person, who disclosed the name of the petitioners is not disclosed in the F.I.R., which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. Since petitioners have not been implicated based on secret information.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/ successor Court in connection with Rampur P. S. Case No.283 of 2026, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after



accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioners shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event, if it is found that petitioner no.1 has antecedent of more than one case and petitioner no.2 has antecedent of even one case, then it would be presumed that petitioners for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed their antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioner no.1 has antecedent of only one case and petitioner no.2 is a person with clean antecedent, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

