

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.45381 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-303 Year-2025 Thana- KADWA District- Katihar

=====

Daulat Kr. Ray @ Daulat Ray S/O Ganesh Ray R/O Karari, Titanga, P.S.-
Gopalpur, Distt- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 96, 3(5)
and 351(2) of the BNS.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant
alleges that his minor daughter was kidnapped by the petitioner
on 02.11.2025, with whom she was on talking terms, further
informant called on the mobile of petitioner but the same was
switched off, next alleges that on 06.11.2025 he went to the
house of the petitioner, where his family members disclosed that
petitioner has married the victim and they have gone out and
the victim would be sent back to her parental home till



10.11.2025, but the victim did not come back.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that petitioner and the victim were in love and they eloped, it is also submitted that victim came back and her statement was recorded under section 180 and 183 BNSS wherein she has not supported the case of prosecution rather has stated that she married the petitioner. It is also submitted that specific pleading has been made at para 14 of the anticipatory bail application that victim presently is staying with her husband. It is also submitted that though informant alleges that victim was a minor but then said allegation has been made only to give seriousness to the case without any documentary evidence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, let the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of



the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/Successor
Court in connection with Kadwa P.S. Case No. 303 of 2025,
subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of
the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikram/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

