

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.39981 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2025 Thana- Mufassil District- Khagaria

- 
1. Rinku Devi W/O Raj Naitik Tanti @ Rajaniti Tanti Resident of Village-Rasauk Satbhaiwa Tola, Ward No.- 01, P.S.-Morkahi, District-Khagaria.
  2. Govind Tanti S/O Late Ramchandra Tanti @ Chandu Tanti R/O Village-Dhomgachhi, P.S.- Muffasil, Distt.- Khagaria.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

---

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mahesh Prasad, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar, APP

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      29-06-2026                      Heard Mr. Mahesh Prasad, learned counsel for the  
petitioners and Mr. Abhay Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioners seek bail, who are in custody since  
09.04.2026, in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 66 of  
2025, F.I.R. dated 10.05.2025 registered for the offences  
punishable under Sections 87 and 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. Allegation against the petitioners is that they along  
with other co-accused persons have kidnapped the daughter of  
the informant for the purpose of marriage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the  
petitioners have clean antecedents and they have been falsely  
implicated in the present case. He further submits that the



allegation as alleged in the F.I.R. is false and fabricated and the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. He further submits that the petitioners have been made accused merely on the ground that the petitioners are family members of main co-accused person, namely, Sunny Kumar. Petitioner no. 1 is mother of Sunny Kumar and petitioner no. 2 is uncle of Sunny Kumar and they have no role at all in the present case and the victim was recovered and her statement under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S. was recorded in which she has not stated anything about the petitioners and petitioners are in custody since 09.04.2026.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the fact that the petitioners having clean antecedents and the victim has not stated anything about the petitioners in her statement recorded under Section 183 of the B.N.S.S., let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Khagaria in connection with Muffasil



P.S. Case No. 66 of 2025, subject to the following conditions :-

(1) Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bonds shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioners tamper with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

Ibrar//-

**(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)**

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

