

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.41881 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-20 Year-2026 Thana- BARH District- Patna

=====

Prahlad Kumar Singh @ Prahlad Kumar, Son of Dharamveer Singh @
Dharmvir Singh Resident of Village- Dharampur, P.S.- Athmalgola, District-
Patna

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Bhanu Pratap Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 27-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Barh P.S. Case No. 20 of 2026, for the offence punishable under
Sections 132, 109(1), 262 of the BNS and Sections 25(1-B) (a),
26, and 27 of the Arms Act.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that on
11.01.2026, the informant, Inspector-cum-S.H.O., Barh,
received a secret information that the petitioner who is accused
in Barh P.S. Case No. 08 of 2026, has been seen around the
four-lane area in the Barh police station region. Thereafter, the
informant along with the team started searching the petitioner. It



is further alleged that during search and after seeing the police vehicle and raiding team, the petitioner started firing at the team, from which the raiding team barely escaped. Thereafter, in self-defense, the raiding team fired which hit the petitioner's leg, and he fell down on the ground. It is further alleged that the raiding party apprehended the petitioner and made videography. Thereafter, on searching the petitioner one Motorola Company mobile phone was recovered and the petitioner was immediately taken to Sadar Hospital, Barh, where the doctor referred him to the P.M.C.H. It is further alleged that the F.S.L. team, Patna recovered one pistol, four live cartridges from the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody since 13.01.2026 and has falsely been implicated in this case. Counsel further submits that no fire arm was recovered from possession of the petitioner. He was made accused merely because of his criminal history.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner on the ground that petitioner is having criminal antecedent.

6. Having heard the parties and taking into account that there was no firearm recovery made from possession of the



petitioner and the fact that the petitioner is in custody since 13.01.2026, let the above named petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the likewise amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Barh, Patna, in connection with Barh P.S. Case No. 20 of 2026, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be the petitioner's own or close member.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed during the trial and also co-operate in the process of trial.

(III) The petitioner shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence or influence/intimidate any witness during the course of trial.

(IV) The Petitioner shall not commit offence of a similar nature in future.

In case of violation of any of the aforesaid conditions, the Trial Court shall be at liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Alok Kumar, J)

khushbu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

