

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 44306 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-152 Year-2026 Thana- SARAIYA District- Muzaffarpur

Parmanand Singh S/o Ramjhulan Singh R/o Village - Jamin Mathiyavia
Kanti, PS - Minapur, District - Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Madhuresh Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Renu Kumari, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 20-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Chakrapani and learned A.P.P. for the State.
2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4), and 316(5) of the BNS.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that petitioner while posted as Branch Post Master at Chakna Branch Post Office illegally retained an amount of Rs. 4,73,362/- hence was placed under suspension and despite hectic efforts to contact the petitioner, the amount has not been returned.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the



informant, it is next submitted that being a government employee, the petitioner is aware of the consequences which would entail in the event if it is found that petitioner was involved in the occurrence. It is next submitted that the case is not of misappropriation of money but retention of money. It is also submitted that the money is with the bank but since the account was seized hence, the money could not be returned. It is also submitted that petitioner is under suspension. It is further submitted that petitioner had approached the CBI by filing written complaint based on which RC Case No. 4 of 2025 was instituted wherein petitioner had alleged that bribe was demanded by the authorities from him. It is also submitted that since petitioner has been suspended, he will be proceeded departmentally and if in the departmental proceeding, the petitioner is exonerated whether it would be prudent for the Court to send him to judicial custody at this stage.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the anticipatory bail application of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail



bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Sariaya P.S. Case No. 152 of 2026 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.

7. Accordingly, the application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

rajesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

